1 2	DENISE M. MINGRONE (SBN 135224) dmingrone@orrick.com ROBERT L. URIARTE (SBN 258274)	JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (SBN 161368) JTaylor@mofo.com STACEY M. SPRENKEL (SBN 241689)
3	ruriarte@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	SSprenkel@mofo.com JOYCE LIOU (SBN 277720)
4 5	1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025-1015 Telephone: 650.614.7400 Facsimile: 650.614.7401	JLiou@mofo.com AMANDA D. PHILLIPS (SBN 305614) APhillips@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482
7	SYNOPSYS, INC.	Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522
8		Attorneys for Defendants
9		UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC., UBIQUITI NETWORKS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED, and CHING-HAN TSAI
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT FOR THE
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISC	O DIVISION
13	SYNOPSYS, INC.,	Case No. 3:17-cv-00561 -WHO
14	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND
15	V.	ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
16	UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC., UBIQUITI	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS
17	NETWORKS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED, and CHING-HAN TSAI,	CIVIL L.R. 6-1
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC. and UBIQUITI NETWORKS INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,	
21	Counterclaimants,	
22	v.	
23	SYNOPSYS, INC.,	
24	Counterdefendant.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
I	Case No. 3:17-CV-00561 (WHO)	EDI V. IN SURDORT OF MOTION FOR I FAVE TO FILE

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), it is hereby stipulated by and between Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. ("Synopsys") and Defendant Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. ("Ubiquiti"), through respective counsel, as follows:

- 1. On September 19, 2017, Ubiquiti filed its Motion for Leave to file Amended Counterclaims, requesting a hearing before the Court on November 1, 2017 (Dkt. No. 77), and on October 3, 2017, Synopsys filed its brief in opposition (Dk. No. 78).
- 2. On October 4, 2017, the Court issued a notice resetting the hearing date for Ubiquiti's motion to November 8, 2017.
- 3. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-3(c), Ubiquiti's deadline to file a reply brief in support of its motion is October 10, 2017.
- 4. Due to evacuations from the fires in Napa and Sonoma Counties, members of the case team at Ubiquiti's outside counsel are unavailable to finalize the reply brief on October 10, 2017.
- 5. Because of ongoing uncertainty as to fire evacuations, Counsel for Synopsys and Ubiquiti agree that it is appropriate to extend Ubiquiti's last day to file a reply brief in support of its motion from October 10, 2017 to October 13, 2017.
 - 6. The Parties have made the following prior requests for extensions in this case.
- 7. On February 22, 2017, the Parties filed a Joint Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Complaint, extending the deadline to March 7, 2017.
- 8. On August 25, 2017, the Parties filed a Joint Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Amended Complaint, extending that deadline from September 4, 2017 to fourteen (14) days from the date that Plaintiff filed a further amended complaint, or fourteen (14) days from September 4, whichever date is earlier.
- 9. On September 11, 2017, recognizing that due to the Labor Day holiday the parties had misidentified Plaintiff's last day to file a further amended complaint as September 4, 2017, and because Plaintiff filed a further amended complaint on September 5, 2017, the Parties filed a Joint Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Amended Complaint from September 18, 2017 to September 19, 2017.

- 1			
1	ll .	NAMED A DE TANK OD	
2	Z JOY	NIFER LEE TAYLOR CE LIOU	
3		ANDA D. PHILLIPS RRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
4			
5	5 By:	/s/ Jennifer Lee Taylor ¹ JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR	
6	5	Attorneys for Defendant	
7	7	UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.	
8			
9	ROI	NISE M. MINGRONE BERT L. URIARTE	
10	ORI LLF	RICK, HERRINGTON & SULTCLIFFE	
11	ll .	// D : W W:	
12	By:	/s/ Denise M. Mingrone DENISE M. MINGRONE	
13	3	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14	1	SYNOPSYS, INC.	
15	5		
16	5		
17	ORDER		
18	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, Ubiquiti's deadline to file a reply in support of its		
19	motion for leave to file amended counterclaims is October 13, 2017.		
20			
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	1 4 14 -	
22		W: 4.00	
23	Bated. October 10, 2017	How. William H. Orrick	
24	1	U.S. District Judge	
25	5		
26	5		
27	¹ In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the		

28

In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories hereto.